

## Application Scoring Sheet

Agency/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Scoring Criteria (90pts)</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Some what</u>	<u>No</u>
<b>Application Quality (5pts)</b>			
• Was all the requested information in each section provided to a degree of completeness?			
• Is the project sound and presented in a manner that was clearly understood?			
• Was there minimum grammatical and/or typographical error?			
<u>Score</u>			
<b>Project Description (35pts)</b>			
• Does the proposed project meet one of the 3 National Objectives as described within the Scope of Work?			
• Does this activity support a priority identified within the ConPlan?			
• Does the project demonstrate a high-capacity to serve a low to moderate income population (51% of total beneficiaries) <b>and/or</b> is the project located in a targeted block group?			
• Does the program provide adequate justification of need?			
<u>Score</u>			
<b>Capacity Manage Program (15pts)</b>			
• Does the organization demonstrate a track record for completing similar projects as the one that is proposed?			
• Does project staff possess the necessary experience/expertise to implement the project successfully?			
<u>Score</u>			
<b>Project Impact and Performance Measures (15pts)</b>			
• Does the applicant provide clearly defined outcomes, which directly benefit the target population?			
• Can the impact of the defined outcomes be measured and are those measures significant within the context of the funding request?			
<u>Score</u>			

<u>Scoring Criteria (continued)</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Some what</u>	<u>No</u>
<b>Project Budget (15pts)</b>			
• Is the request reasonable and shows justifiable costs?			
• Does the applicant's audited financial statements demonstrate a profit or loss over the past two years?			
• Has the applicant demonstrated an availability of funding to operate on a reimbursement basis and/or meet matching fund requirements?			
• Does the applicant demonstrate an ability to move forward quickly upon receipt of funding?			
• Has the applicant outlined partnerships and/or other methods for leveraging resources to support the project?			
<u>Score</u>			
<b>Site Visit (5pts)</b>			
• Did project staff present valuable information regarding the proposed project that furthers the HUD staff's ability to assess the viability of the project?			
• Were there any environmental hazards and/or concerns that were immediately visible?			
<u>Score</u>			

<u>Additional Scoring ( Maximum of 10 pts)</u>	
<b>Past Subrecipients Only</b> —Score is based on past performance (i.e. reporting requirements, timeliness, and attainment of goals). <b>5pts</b>	
<b>New Applicant</b> --New applicants will automatically receive <b>5 points</b>	
<b>Workshop Attendance</b> —Applicant receive <b>5 points</b>	
<u>Score</u>	

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_/100